UNIVERSITY OF MYSORE

Notification No. 21285, dated 25th April 1936.

The following abstract proceedings of the 87th meeting of the Senate held at the University Union, Mysore, on the 26th March 1936, are published for information.

Abstract Proceedings.

PRESENT.

1 Mr. N. S. Subba Rao, M.A. (Cantab.), Bar-at-Law, *Vice-Chancellor-in-carge and Chairman.*
2 ,, Mirle N. Lakshminaranappa, B.A., LL.B.
3 ,, D. V. Gundappa.
4 ,, M. Sultan Mohiyuddin, M.A., LL.B., M.Ed.
5 *Rao Bahadur* Mr. B. Venkatesachar, M.A., F.Inst.P.
6 Mr. A. R. Wadia, B.A. (Cantab.), Bar-at-Law.
7 ,, E. G. McAlpine, M.A. (Edin.), V.D., J.P.
8 ,, J. C. Rollo, M.A., J.P.
9 Dr. J. F. Robinson, B.A., M.D., F.A.C.S., F.R.C.S.
10 *Sastravaidyapravina* Mr. S. Subba Rao, B.A., M.B.C.M., L.R.C.P. (Lond.), M.R.C.S. (Eng.), and D.P.H.
11 Mrs. H. S. Hensman, M.A.
12 Sir M. O. Forster, D.Sc., Ph.D., F.I.C., F.R.S.
13 *Rajadharmapravina Diwan Bahadur* Mr. P. Mahadevayya, B.A., B.L.
14 Mr. V. Gopalaswami Aiengar, M.A.
15 ,, B. M. Srikantia, M.A., B.L.
16 Dr. B. Sanjiva Rao, M.A., Ph.D.
17 Mr. E. K. Ramaswami, B.Sc. (Lond.), A.C.G.I.
18 ,, A. B. Mackintosh, M.A. (Oxon. & St. Andrews.)
19 Dr. M. A. Sampathkumaram, M.A. Ph.D., S.M. (Chicago).
20 ,, A. Subba Rau, B.A., M.Sc., D.Sc. (Lond.)
21 Mr. L. Rama Rao, M.A., F.G.S.
22 ,, V. L. D'Souza, B.A., B.Com. (Lond.)
23 ,, B. K. Narayana Rao, M.B.C.M., L.R.C.P., M.R.C.S., D.P.H., D.O.
24 ,, A. Venkata Rao Telang, M.A.
25 ,, G. R. Josyer, M.A., F.R.E.S.
26 ,, S. Subba Rao, B.A., LL.B.
27 ,, L. Narayana Rao, M.Sc.
28 ,, M. Sesha Iyengar, M.A.
29 ,, A. Venkateshaiah, B.A., LL.B.
30 ,, K. T. Bhashyam Iyengar, B.A., B.L.
31 ,, H. Siddaiya, B.A., LL.B.
32 ,, N. V. Narayana Murthi, B.A., B.L.
33 ,, G. M. Chandra Sekhar, B.A., LL.B.
34 ,, V. Venkataswamy Chetti.
35 *Khan Bahadur* Mr. Mahomed Abbas Khan.
36 Mr. B. Marulappa, B.A., LL.B.
37 ,, H. Hombe Gowda, B.Sc., LL.B.
38 ,, L. Siddappa, B.A., LL.B.
39 ,, S. Abdul Wajid, B.A.
40 *Diwan Bahadur* Mr. N. N. Ayyangar, B.A., L.C.E., M.I.E. (Ind.)
41 Rev. Father C. Browne, M.A.
42 *Rajakaryapravina* Mr. P. G. D'Souza, B.A., B.L.
43 *Rajacharitavisarada Rao Sahib* Mr. C. Hayavadana Rao, B.A., B.L.
44 Sri B. Indiramma, M.A.
45 Dr. M. H. Krishna, M.A., D.Litt. (Lond.)
46 *Rajasevasakta Rao Bahadur* Dr. S. Krishnaswami Aiengar, M.A., Ph.D., (Honorary.)
47 Mr. J. Mahomed Imam, B.A., B.L.
48 Dr. A. Moffat, M.A., LL.D.
49 Mr. M. Ramachandra Rao, B.A., B.L.
50 ,, H. V. Ramasvami, M.A., B.L.
51 ,, B. V. Ramiengar, B.A.
52 Sri K. D. Rukminiamma, B.A.

53 *Rajasevasakta Diwan Bahadur* Mr. K. R. Seshachar, B.A., B.E., C.E.
54 Mr. B. Venkatanaranappa, M.A.
55 ,, D. Venkataramaiya, B.A., L.T.
56 Dr. K. N. Venkatasubba Sastri, M.A., Ph.D., F.R.Hist.S.
57 Mr. S. Venkatesaiya, B.A., B.L.
58 ,, B. Krishnaswami Iyengar, L.C.E.

ABSENT.

1 Mr. Gulam Ahmed Kalami.
2 ,, C. R. Narayana Rao, M.A., L.T.
3 ,, A. E. Walden, B.Sc. (Hons.) (Lond.), A.I.C.
4 ,, H. B. Gundappa, B.A., B.L.
5 ,, P. Seetharamiah, B.A., B.L.
6 Rev. R. W. Boote, B.Sc.
7 *Rajadharmapravina Diwan Bahadur* Mr. K. S. Chandrasekhara Iyer, B.A., B.L.
8 Mr. B. S. Puttaswami, B.A., B.L.
9 ,, A. V. Ramanathan, B.A.

I. Preliminary.

Before proceeding to the business on the agenda, the Vice-Chancellor referred to the death of His Majesty George V, which had occurred since the last meeting of the Senate and reported that the condolence of the University had already been communicated to the Government. As a tribute to the memory of the late His Majesty the members rose.

The Vice-Chancellor then referred to the distinction of K.C.I.E. recently conferred by His Majesty the King-Emperor on Sir Mirza M. Ismail, Dewan of Mysore, and a former member of the Senate. It was resolved that the felicitations of the University be communicated to Sir Mirza M. Ismail.

Mr. M. Ramachandra Rao, on behalf of the Senate, felicitated the Vice-Chancellor-in-charge on his appointment to the office.

II. Questions.

Answers to questions of which notice had been given were furnished as follows :—

Mr. V. Venkataswami Chetti.

Questions.	*Answers.*
KANDASWAMY NAIDU MEDICAL SCHOLARSHIP.	
1. Will the Vice-Chancellor be pleased to state :—	
(i) whether it is not the primary purpose of this scholarship to enable Banajiga boys to prosecute their studies;	No. The object of the endowment is to encourage the study of higher medicine in general, in the special branch of Midwifery and allied subjects, by the Hindu community in general. The scholarship is intended primarily for women students, but Telugu Banajiga candidates are given preference among male candidates when there are no eligible female candidates.
(ii) if so, how many Banajiga boys have been awarded this scholarship and in the alternative, how many others, ever since the institution of the scholarship;	A list of scholars with their communities is attached.
(iii) if the scholarship goes to non-Banajiga boys more often on grounds of merit or otherwise, whether it is possible to refer to the representatives of the donor to make it restricted in its award at all events to Banajiga boys?	The terms do not require such a reference to the representative of the donor.

Questions. | *Answers.*

AWARD OF FREESHIPS.

2. Will the Vice-Chancellor be pleased to state :—

(i) what steps the University has taken pursuant to its promise in previous Senate meetings, in introducing non-Brahmin non-official members in the scholarship and freeship committees of the Central and Intermediate colleges ;

(ii) if no steps have been so far taken, whether it is not advisable for the University to include at least two non-Brahmin non-official members into each of the college committees?

There was no promise made at any time. The University Council does not consider it necessary to add to the composition of the College Councils of the Arts and Science colleges in the manner suggested.

This is a matter of opinion.

Mr. H. Siddaiya.

3. Will the Vice-Chancellor be pleased to state :—

(i) whether any scholarships meant for the students belonging to the backward communities in all the colleges of the University lapsed during 1934-35 and 1935-36 ;

(ii) if the answer is in the affirmative, what the number of such scholarships is and to which community or communities they were set apart during the abovementioned period?

1934-35	... Yes.
1935-36	... No.

Constituent Colleges	Int. Colleges and Medical School
5 lapses under	5 lapses under
Kuruba ... 1	Beda
Beda ... 4	
awarded to—	awarded to—
Mahomedan ... 1	Vaisya ... 2
Mahratta ... 1	Nagartha ... 1
Vaisya ... 1	Jetti ... 1
Group D ... 1	Mudaliar ... 1
Group E ... 1	

4. Will the Vice-Chancellor be pleased to state :—

what the number of free-studentships—both full and half—that were awarded to the students belonging to the following communities are :—

(*a*) Brahmins
(*b*) Lingayets
(*c*) Vokkaligas
(*d*) Mahomedans
(*e*) other communities

during 1935-36 in all the colleges of the University?

	Free-studentships	Half-free-studentships
(*a*)	286	383
(*b*)	48	47
(*c*)	48	13
(*d*)	37	78
(*e*)	219	66

5. Will the Vice-Chancellor be pleased to state :—

what the names of the members of the committees for all the colleges of the University for awarding backward class scholarships and free-studentships are during 1935-36 ?

List of members of College Councils attached.

Questions.	*Answers.*
Mr. S. Subba Rao, B.A., LL.B.,	
6. Will the Vice-Chancellor be pleased to state :—	
(i) whether the Maharaja's College Hostel in Mysore is fully occupied and, if not, how many rooms are vacant and for how long;	No; 15 rooms have been vacant throughout the year.
(ii) whether there is any likelihood of the rooms being filled up and whether it is worth while to keep them vacant in the expectation of getting more students?	All are likely to be filled next year.
7. Will the Vice-Chancellor be pleased to state :—	
(i) whether estimates have been received regarding the proposed new building for the Oriental Library and, if so, what the estimated cost of the same is;	Yes; about Rs. 51,000.
(ii) whether the vacant portion of the Maharaja's College Hostel cannot be used for the Oriental Library with suitable alterations, if necessary?	No [*vide* reply to question 6 (ii)].
Mr. M. Ramachandra Rao.	
8. Will the Vice-Chancellor be pleased to state :—	
(i) (*a*) whether since the establishment of the Inter-University Board, any propositions have been sent up on behalf of this University for consideration by the Board;	Yes.
(*b*) if so, on what subjects, in which year or years, and with what results;	Statement attached.
(ii) (*a*) whether information is available as to the annual contributions made to the Inter-University Board by each of the Universities in India;	The annual contribution payable by each member-university is Rs. 750 according to a resolution of the Inter-University Board.
(*b*) if so, whether he would place a list of such contributions on the table of the House;	17 Indian Universities are members of the Inter-University Board.
(*c*) if not, whether he would kindly obtain the said information for the use of the House;	
(*d*) on what basis contributions are made to the Inter-University Board?	Each University makes the same contribution.

Questions.	*Answers.*
9. Will the Vice-Chancellor be pleased to state:—	
(i) whether any of the Mysore University women graduates have been taken for service in the University since its establishment;	Yes
(ii) if so, how many (with names) and in what grades of service;	Statement attached.
(iii) whether any of such graduates have been absorbed in any of the other services of Government, and if so, how many (with names), and on what grades of pay;	Yes Statement has been called for.
(iv) whether a list of all the women graduates with their present occupations has been made by the University so far;	No
(v) if no such list has been made, whether it is not desirable to have one made immediately;	This is a matter of opinion.
(vi) whether any steps have been taken by the University for securing employment to the unemployed women graduates desiring to enter Government service;	No
(vii) if so, to what effect?	Does not arise.
10. Will the Vice-Chancellor be pleased to state:—	
(i) the reasons why the University did not choose to make any recommendation for the award of the Carnegie Research grant for 1936-37 in response to the letter No. 2068-85, dated the 23rd October 1935 received from the Secretary, Inter-University Board;	In view of the fact that a grant was recently awarded to a member of the staff of this University and it was improbable that a second award would be made so soon, it was not proposed to send up any nominations from this University this year.
(ii) what the qualifications required for making the recommendation are;	The grant is made to members of University staffs, whether administrative or teaching, to enable them to visit Great Britain for such research work or special investigations as may be approved by the Executive Council of the Universities Bureau of the British Empire. Generally senior members of staff are selected for the award.
(iii) whether the present courses of study in this University do not enable the students to equip themselves with the aforesaid qualifications;	The question does not arise.
(iv) whether the receipt of the above communication from the Inter-University Board published in the newspapers or otherwise was made known to the deserving candidates;	College authorities are askedto make the recommendations after considering the merits of likely candidates in their respective colleges.
(v) Whether applications were invited from graduates of this University and if so, by what means;	Only cases of members of staff are considered.

Questions.	*Answers.*
(vi) how many applied (with names);	Does not arise.
(vii) whether it is not desirable to make special efforts at training candidates for such Research grants in future?	In the circumstances mentioned above, the question of training does not arise.
11. Will the Vice-Chancellor be pleased to state :—	
(i) what savings have been effected by not printing the proceedings of the Senate meetings *in extenso*.	About Rs. 470 per year.
(ii) what the difference in cost between printing the said proceedings *in extenso* and printing the same in an abridged form would be?	
12. Will the Vice-Chancellor be pleased to state :—	
(i) whether copies of the proceedings of the Senate submitted to Government as required by Statute 11 of Part II Statues—include the proceedings *in extenso* or only an abridged edition of them as now published in the *Mysore Gazette* or as supplied to the members of the Senate;	Only abstract proceedings.
(ii) whether the speeches made by the members of the Senate are forwarded to the members for revision or correction;	No.
(iii) if not, whether it is not desirable that a correct copy of the proceedings including all debates should be preserved in the University for purposes of future reference;	It is believed that the copy kept is correct for all practical purposes.
(iv) whether the proceedings of the Senate as now preserved in the University are merely the transcribed copies furnished by the steno-typists without the same being verified as to their accuracy by the speakers who take part in the debates at the Senate meetings;	Yes.
(v) whether the reports submitted by the steno-typists of the proceedings of the Senate are revised by the University Office or are filed in the records without revision;	The latter.
(vi) if the answer to the latter half of question (v) be in the affirmative, whether it is not inadvisable to keep such imperfect records in the office;	*Vide* reply to (iii).
(vii) in any case, whether it is not desirable that the proceedings or parts thereof should be sent to the members concerned and they be requested to revise them so that a correct copy of the proceedings may be available for future reference?	This will be considered.

Questions.	*Answers.*
13. Will the Vice-Chancellor be pleased to state :—	
(i) how many graduates of this University are receiving scholarships from Government for studying in British India and outside;	The University has no information.
(ii) what their names are and what the amounts received by each of them are and for what studies;	Do not arise.
(iii) what the total amounts allotted and paid by Government towards such scholarships are ?	
14. Will the Vice-Chancellor be pleased to state :—	
(i) whether this University has at any time in the past or this year granted or recommended any scholarships to women graduates for higher studies in British India or outside;	Yes.
(ii) if so, what the names of such women scholars are and what the amounts received by them respectively are and for what studies;	Dr. U. Abhayambal was granted study leave.
(iii) what the total amount paid towards such scholarships is ?	A sum of Rs. 8,480 was the total expenditure including leave allowances.

V. GOPALASWAMI AIENGAR,
Registrar.

Statement in reply to Question 1 (ii).

Year	*Name of Scholar*	*Community of the Scholar*
1924—25	Not awarded	
1925—26	Miss L. M. Sundaram	Christian
1926—27	Miss L. M. Sundaram	Christian
1927—28	B. A. Krishnamurthi	Brahmin
1928—29	B. A. Krishnamurthi	Brahmin
1929—30	Y. N. Jayalaxmi	Brahmin
1930—31	M. B. Sunder Rao	Maratha Kshatriya
1931—32	M. B. Sunder Rao	Maratha Kshatriya
1932—33	H. N. Ramaswami Iyengar	Brahmin
1933—34	H. N. Ramaswami Iyengar	Brahmin
1934—35	N. Manohara Bai	Rajput
1936 (Calendar year)	N. Manohara Bai	Rajput

List of Members of the College Councils (*Vide* reply to Question **5**).

1. MAHARAJA'S COLLEGE—
   Mr. J. C. Rollo (*President*)
   „ A. B. Mackintosh
   „ A. R. Wadia
   Dr. M. V. Gopalaswami (*Secretary*)
   „ M. H. Krishna
   „ M. Siddalingaiya
   Mr. K. B. Madhava
   „ T. S. Venkannaiya
   „ D. Srinivasachar
   „ V. L. D'Souza
   „ M. A. Shustery

2. CENTRAL COLLEGE—
   Mr. E. G. McAlpine (*President*)
   Rao Bahadur Mr. B. Venkatesachar
   Mr. C. R. Narayana Rao
   Dr. M. A. Sampathkumaran
   Mr. B. M. Srikantia
   Dr. B. Sanjiva Rao
   „ B. L. Manjunath
   Mr. L. Rama Rao
   „ K. S. K. Iyengar
   „ A. Venkata Rao Telang (*Secretary*)

3. ENGINEERING COLLEGE—
Mr. B. Krishnaswami Iyengar (*President*).
,, E. K. Ramaswami
,, J. P. Das
,, K. D. Joshi
,, B. S. Madhava Rao
,, A. V. Raghavachar
,, D. S. Venkanna
,, P. V. K. Murthy
,, S. Muthuswamy
,, C. Gopalakrishnan (*Secretary*)

Non-official Members.

Mr. J. R. Issac
,, C. Vijayarangam Naidu
,, A. J. Khaleel

4. MEDICAL COLLEGE—
Dr. J. F. Robinson (*President*)
,, A. Subba Rau
Mr. P. R. Subba Rao
,, M. Ponnambalam
,, C. Krishnaswami Rao
Mrs. M. Ratnamma Issac
Dr. Werner Rosenthal
Mr. A. Vasudev
,, M. G. Srinivasa Peidpet
,, T. Seshachalam
Dr. C. Srikantia (*Secretary*)
Mr. C. V. Krishna Iyengar
,, N. Parameswaran
Dr. B. Thirumalachar

Non-official Members.

Mr. H. C. Dasappa
,, B S. Puttaswami
,, T. S. Alikhan
,, H. L. Devaraj Urs
Rev. F. W. Spencer

5. MAHARANI'S COLLEGE—
Mrs. H. S. Hensman (*President*)
Sri Kanakalakshamma (*Secretary*)
Miss M. Matthan
Sri. D. Champa Bai

6. MEDICAL SCHOOL—
Mr. B. K. Narayana Rao (*President*)
,, K. Srinivasachar (*Secretary*)
,, B. Nanjappa
Miss M. C. Albuquerque
Mr. P. Krishna Rao
,, M. S. Mehkri
Dr. R. Nagendran
,, C. V. Natarajan
,, J. A. Iswaramurthy
Mr. H. Subba Jois
,, L Narayana Rao
,, P. A. Madhava Rao

Non-official Members,

Mr. N. V. Narayana Murthy
Dr. M. Royan
Mr. M. Ramachandra Rao Scindia
Khan Bahadur Mr. Mohamed Abbas Khan.

7. INTERMEDIATE COLLEGE, MYSORE—
Mr. J. C. Rollo (*President*)
,, A. B. Mackintosh
Dr. M. V. Gopalaswami
Mr. A. R. Wadia
,, K. B. Madhava
,, V. L. D'Souza
,, D. Srinivasachar
,, T. S. Venkannaiya
Dr. M. H. Krishna
Mr. Aga Mohammed Abbas Shustery
,, B. Nagesha Rao (*Secretary*)
,, N. Paramesvaran
,, H. Ramaswami Iyengar
Dr. B. Thirumalachar
Mr. C. V. Krishna Iyengar
,, S. R. Narayana Rao
,, L. S. Saptharishi
,, V. Raghavendra Rao
,, B. R. Subba Rao
,, N. Nagesha Rao
,, M. A. Venkata Rao
,, K. V. Puttappa

8. INTERMEDIATE COLLEGE, BANGALORE—
Mr. E. G. Mc Alpine (*President*)
Rao Bahadur Mr. B. Venkatesachar
Dr. M. A. Sampathkumaran
,, B. Sanjiva Rao
Mr. B. M. Srikantia
,, C. R. Narayana Rao
,, L. Rama Rao
,, K. S. K. Iyengar
,, M. Seshaiengar (*Secretary*)
,, M. Venkatarama Iyer
,, M. N. Pranatharthiharan
,, S. Thirumalai
,, M. M. Narayanaswami Iyer
,, K. Anantharamaiya
,, P. R. Jagapathi Naidu
,, V. Seetharamaiya
,, T. L. Kasturi Rangachar
,, K. Rangaswamy
,, P. A. Madhava Rao

9. WOMEN'S INTERMEDIATE COLLEGE, BANGALORE—
Miss B. A. Watts (*President*)
,, R. Samuels
Mr. S. Venkata Rao
Miss, G. Watsa (*Secretary*)
Mr. B. S. Krishnaswami Iyengar
,, K. N. Seshagiriah
,, S. R. Kubair
,, B. M. Narayana Rao
Miss B. H. Annapurna Bai
Sri Sree
Miss H. Ratnamma (*on leave*)
Sri Susheela Lingiah
Mr. S. Srinivasa Murthy
,, P. Swami Das
Pandit B. O. Seshachar
Moulvi Abdul Latiff
Mr. V. Venkatadri Sarma
,, S. Rudrapathy

Statement in Reply to Question 8 (i) (b).

Year	Proposition or Subject in brief	Resolution of the Inter-University Board
1923-24	That a system of exchange of University Professors between different Universities be arranged.	The Indian Universities Conference approved on principle the exchange of teachers between different Universities, the details being settled in every case by the Universities concerned.
1928 29	That other Universities may be consulted as regards the holding of the examinations in a comparatively cool part of the year.	The opinions of the several Universities was obtained by the Secretary, Inter-University Board and communicated to this University. No change in the dates was considered feasible.
1931-32	(i) Mutual recognition of Examinations and Degrees.	**Resolved** that the report of the Mutual Recognition Committee be approved and be communicated to the different Universities. REPORT OF THE MUTUAL RECOGNITION COMMITTEE. 1 **Resolved** that the committee recommend the mutual recognition of the corresponding examinations of Indian Universities—differences in nomenclature notwithstanding—and of Boards of Intermediate and Secondary Education incorporated by the Law for the time being in force, provided that— (*a*) The length of the courses leading to different examinations is the same. (*b*) The courses of study shall have been pursued in a University or in a College recognised by a University. (*c*) In the case of professional examinations no University need admit a student of another University who has not studied the subjects prescribed by it as a necessary preliminary course. NOTE.—It is to be understood that no University need give a concession to students who have passed examinations of other Universities or Boards recognised as equivalent, which it is not prepared to extend to students who have passed its own examinations. 2 **Resolved** that in the opinion of the Committee, wherever the conditions laid down in **Resolution** 1 are satisfied, the mutual recognition of examinations shall not be affected by the fact that in some Universities English is not the medium of instruction. 3 **Resolved** that in view of the fact that the Inter-University Board is the proper authority to advise with regard to the question of the mutual recognition of degrees, the committee are of opinion that it would be in the interests of the Universities concerned, if the provision under which they are not permitted to recognise, for the purposes of admission to the courses of study for a degree, the examinations of other Universities or Boards as equivalent to their own examinations without the previous sanction

Year	Proposition or Subject in brief	Resolution of the Inter-University Board.
		of the Governor-General in Council, were removed from the acts of such Universities. The committee therefore, recommend that the Inter University Board shall make an enquiry on this subject from the Universities concerned, and if they agree with the view of the Board, shall approach the Government of India requesting them to take necessary action in the matter.
	(ii) That the Inter-University Board take steps for the recognition on a footing of equality of corresponding degrees of the several member-Universities by the Government of India, the provincial Governments, the Public Service Commission, the Rail Board and similar other authorities.	**Resolved** that the suggestion be approved and the Government of India, the Public Service Commission and the Railway Board be addressed in the matter.
	(iii) That in cases where one University refuses to recognise a particular degree of another University, it is desirable that the reasons for refusal be stated explicitly.	The Inter-University Board resolved not to take action.
	(iv) That the Inter-University Board take steps to ascertain and publish the terms on which Indian Degrees are recognised in England and on the continent.	**Resolved** that the Secretary be instructed to ascertain, as far as possible, the conditions of recognition of Indian degrees in England and on the continent.
	(v) That in cases in which professional Post-graduate courses are notinstituted in any member-University facilities be afforded for graduates of such University to proceed for further training in any other University on the same conditions as graduates of the latter University.	The Inter-University Board is of opinion that this is a matter for negotiation between the Universities and the local Governments concerned.
	(vi) That the inter-University Board do invite the attention of the Universities Bureau of the British Empire to the problem of the Indian Students in British Universities with special reference to the arrangements for their boarding and lodging.	**Resolved** that the attention of the Vice-Chancellors of the British Universities be invited through the Universities Bureau of the British Empire, to the problems of Indian students in British Universities with special reference to the desirability of offering them fuller opportunities for entry into the social life of the Universities concerned.
1932-33	(i) Question regarding the subscription payable by Indian Universities for the membership of the Universities Bureau of the British Empire.	**Resolved** that the Secretary of the Universities Bureau be informed that, in view of the present financial depression which has severely affected all Indian Universities, a reduction in the annual subscription for the membership of the Bureau would be greatly appreciated and that such a reduction would be an inducement to the present members to continue their membership and to others to join the Bureau.

Year	Proposition or Subject in brief	Resolution of the Inter-University Board
	(ii) Question of exemption from or reduction of customs duties on importation of materials and apparatus for educational or research purposes.	**Resolved** that the Government of India be requested to consider the feasibility of revising the schedule of Import duties in respect of scientific apparatus which is at present imported under Schedule 91. [Government of India have since replied to the effect that they are unable to exempt from customs duty any other articles imported by educational authorities for scientific and research purposes].
1933-34	(i) That the Inter-University Board take steps to bring together students of different Indian Universities by means of Inter-Universities debates, sports and the like.	**Resolved** that, while being conscious of the difficulties in organising tournaments and debates on an All-India basis, the Board is strongly of opinion that Inter-University contests in sports and debates be encouraged as far as possible.
	(ii) That the Railway Board be addressed in the matter of the waiving of the demand by the Railway authorities in India for payment of premia by Engineering graduates of Indian Universities desirous of undergoing practical training in Railways.	**Resolved** that information be obtained from the Railway authorities in India as to the terms on which they are prepared to admit Engineering graduates of Indian Universities desirous of undergoing practical training in Railways.
	(iii) That the Government of India be addressed in the matter of providing facilities for the practical training of such of the Engineering graduates of Indian Universities as proceed for study to foreign countries in Engineering and Industrial concerns which have dealing with the Government of India.	Recorded.
1935-36	(i) That a recommendation be made to the Government of India to appoint a commission to investigate the question of the general suitability of University courses to the needs of the country. (ii) That the Public Service Commission be addressed regarding :— (*a*) The continuance of Philosophy as one of the subjects for the Indian Audit and Accounts Service examinations ; (*b*) The continuance of Natural Science as a subject in the I. C. S. Examination as before; (*c*) The interpretation of the terms 'with' and 'domicile' specially in connection with those students whose parents may have been born in one Province and domiciled in another.	Resolution not yet communicated.

Statement in reply to Question 9 (ii).

Serial No.	Name	Qualifications	Designation	Grade	Pay
				Rs.	Rs.
1	Sri Kanakalakshamma ...	M.A. ...	Asst. Prof. of English, Maharani's College	200—25/2-300	300
2	,, D. Champa Bai ..	M.A. ...	Kannada Pandit, Maharani's College	60-4-80	72
3	Miss R. Samuels ..	B.Sc. ...	Lecturer in Zoology, Women's Intermediate College, Bangalore.	75-5-150	150
4	,, G. Watsa ...	B.A. (Hons.)	Tutor in English, Women's Intermediate College, Bangalore.	75-5-150	80
5	Sri B. H. Annapurna Bai	M.Sc. ..	Demonstrator in Botany, Women's Intermediate College, Bangalore.	...	75
6	,, H. Ratnamma ...	B.Sc. ...	Demonstrator in Chemistry, Women's Intermediate College, Bangalore.	...	75
7	,, Sree ...	M.Sc. ...	Demonstrator in Physics, Women's Intermediate College, Bangalore.	...	75
8	,, Sushila Lingiah ...	M.Sc. ...	Acting Demonstrator in Chemistry, Women's Intermediate College, Bangalore, *vice* Sri H. Ratnamma on leave.	...	75

V. GOPALASWAMI AIENGAR,
Registrar.

NOTE :—**Question (4).**

In reply to a supplementary question the following figures regarding the strength of the students in each community were given :—

(*a*) Brahmins	..	...	1,667
(*b*) Lingayats	...	...	182
(*c*) Vokkaligas	...	..	124
(*d*) Mahomedans	..	..	147
(*e*) Other communities	..	..	584

III. Reports.

1. Annual Report for 1934-35.

Recorded.

2. Annual Accounts for 1934-35.

Recorded with the remarks that a proposal made in the course of the discussion that a list of publications of the University should be advertised in the Annual Report and, if possible, in the Calendar will be considered.

3. Resolution of the Academic Council, dated the 10th January 1936, approved by the University Council at the meeting held on the 8th February 1936, that the following addition to the transitory ordinances A (ii) [(*a*) to (*f*)] relating to the Engineering Examinations (pages 83 and 84 of the Calendar for 1935-36, Vol. I—Part I, Laws of the University), be approved :—

"Candidates for the Final Examination in Engineering of 1936 in Civil Engineering will be exempted from study and examination in Water Supply and Sanitary Engineering. Those who may fail in the examination will similarly be exempted in respect of the examination of 1937."

The transitory ordinances as amended are given in **Appendix A**.

Recorded.

4. Resolution of the Academic Council dated the 10th January 1936, approved by the University Council at the meeting held on the 8th February 1936, that candidates for the B.T. degree examination who, having failed in the examination of 1933, re-appear without attendance for the examination of 1936, be exempted from the requirement regarding class work.

Recorded.

5 (i) The following action on the proposition in the abstract proceedings of the meeting of the Senate held on the 11th, 12th and 13th January 1934.:—

Proposition.—That elections from and to the Senate, when three or more persons have to be elected, shall be by the system of proportional representation by the Single Transferable Vote.

Resolved that the proposition be referred to the University Council for consideration and report.

(ii) Report of the Committee appointed, in pursuance of the above, by the University Council to consider the question of the most suitable mode of election to University authorities.

(iii) Resolution of the Academic Council passed at the meeting held on the 10th January 1936, approving of the recommendation A(i) of the Committee regarding the election of four members by and from the Academic Council to the Senate and not approving of the recommendation B(ii) regarding the election of two members by and from the Academic Council to the University Council.

(iv) The following resolution of the University Council was passed at the meeting held on the 8th February 1936:—

(*a*) That the University Council, by a majority of 8 to 5, accepts the opinion of the Academic Council regarding recommendations, A (i) and B (ii);

(*b*) that the recommendations of the Committee be approved with the modification, consequent on (*a*), that the election of two members by and from the Academic Council to the University Council shall be according to the method of Single Transferable Vote;

(*c*) that the draft of the consequential amendments to the laws of the University be approved and placed before the Senate together with the report of the Committee, the opinion of the Academic Council and the resolution of the University Council.

Recorded subject to the consideration of Propositions 2 (i) to (v) in the agenda.

6. Report on the audit of the accounts of the University for 1934-35 with replies thereto.

The Report not having been previously supplied but placed on the table at the meeting, the members were requested to communicate their observations, if any, within a fortnight so that they might be considered before the Audit Report is sent up to Government.

IV. Propositions.

Proposition 1.—That the budget estimates of receipts and expenditure of the University for 1936-37 be approved.

MOVED BY MR. J. C. ROLLO.

SECONDED BY MR. A. R. WADIA.

In the course of the general discussion the following points were mentioned;—

(i) That the provisions for extension lectures, physical culture, scholarships were inadequate and the increase proposed under laboratory grant was at the expense of the above items.

(ii) That publications of the University should be supplied free of cost to the Members of the Senate, making an exception, if necessary, in the case, of the "Prabuddha Karnataka".

(iii) That the fee now levied for medical examination of students should be discontinued.

(iv) That the University should take steps to form a U. T. C.

(v) That the University should have a building programme properly planned.

(vi) That the detailed proceedings of the Senate should be published in addition to the abstract proceedings.

vii) That Government should be approached with a view to making a block grant for the University.

(viii) That the University should have its own press.

The following amendments were next MOVED BY MR. M. RAMACHANDRA RAO and disposed of as noted :—

(i) That the contribution of Rs. 750 to the Inter-University Board included under Budget Head X be omitted.

The object of the mover was to invite attention of the Inter-University Board to the desirability of publishing the proceedings of the meetings of the Inter-University Board in detail and of supplying copies of the proceedings of the meetings of the Inter-University Board and their annual reports to the members of the several University authorities either free or at half the cost.

The amendment was SECONDED BY MR. K. T. BHASHYAM IYENGAR.

After discussion in which MR. WADIA, Secretary of the I. U. Board took part, the amendment was **withdrawn.**

(ii) That the item of expenditure under Budget Head VI (2) for "Prabuddha Karnataka" be reduced by Rs. 100.

In moving the amendment, MR. M. RAMACHANDRA RAO suggested that the publication should be run by Government and not by the University.

The amendment was SECONDED BY MR. V. VENKATASWAMI CHETTI.

After MR. B. M. SRIKANTIA explained the position regarding the "Prabuddha Karnataka", the amendment was **withdrawn.**

(iii) That the item of expenditure under Budget Head VI (3) for University Journal be reduced by Rs. 500.

This was not moved in view of the information given that the University Council has decided to stop the publication of the Journal.

(iv) That the item of expenditure under Budget Head VI (4) for Kannada Publications be reduced by Rs. 100.

The amendment was MOVED BY MR. M. RAMACHANDRA RAO AND SECONDED BY MR. K. T. BHASYAM IYENGAR.

After discussion, the amendment was **withdrawn.**

(v) That the item of expenditure under the Budget Head VI (5) for Other publications be deleted.

(vi) That the item of expenditure under Budget Head IX for Construction and repairs be reduced by Rs. 6,000.

These amendments were not moved in view of the explanation given regarding the programme of expenditure.

Finally it was resolved that the budget estimates as framed by the University Council be approved.

Proposition 2.—Propositions arising out of the report of the Committee appointed to consider the mode of election to University authorities as accepted by the University Council.

Proposition (i)—That Statute 65 (page 30 of the Calendar for 1935-36, Vol. I—Part I, Laws of University) be amended as under :—

Substitute the following :—

ELECTIONS TO THE SENATE.

1. The election of members to the Senate in the following cases shall be held according to the method of the 'Single Transferable Vote.' :—

(i) six members by Registered Graduates from among themselves ;
(ii) four members by and from the Legislative Council ;
(iii) eight members by and from the Representative Assembly.

2. The election in case (i) shall be conducted by the Registrar under the directions of the Vice-Chancellor and in cases (ii) and (iii) by the Secretary to the Legislative Council or the Representative Assembly, as the case may be, or any other officer deputed by Government on a reference made in this behalf by the Registrar.

3. Of the four members to be elected by and from the Academic Council to the Senate, one shall be from each of the following Faculties :—

Faculty of Arts,
Faculty of Science,
Faculty of Engineering and Technology,
Faculty of Medicine;

and the election shall be according to the 'Simple Majority System', the members of the Academic Council voting as a body.

Proposition (ii)—That Statute 66 (page 30 of the Calendar for 1935-36, Vol. I—Part I, Laws of the University) be amended as under :—

Substitute the following :—

ELECTION TO THE UNIVERSITY COUNCIL.

The election of three members by and from the Senate and of two members by and from the Academic Council to the University Council shall be according to the method of the 'Single Transferable Vote'.

Proposition (iii)—That the following new statutes be added :—

Statute 66 *A.*

ELECTION TO THE ACADEMIC COUNCIL.

The election of five members by and from the Senate to the Academic Council shall be held according to the 'Simple Majority System'.

OTHER ELECTIONS.

Statute 66 *B.*

Elections to be conducted by the University in cases not otherwise provided for in the Regulation or the preceding Statutes shall be according to the 'Simple Majority System.'

PROCEDURE FOR ELECTION.

Statute 66 *C.*

All elections shall be held at a meeting or by correspondence as may be decided by the Vice-Chancellor. Details of the procedure regarding elections shall be prescribed by the ordinances.

Proposition (iv).—That the Senate assent to the following amendment of Ordinance 3 (page 35 of the Calendar for 1935-36, Vol. I—Part I, Laws of the University) passed by the University Council at the meeting held on the 8th February 1936 :—

Add the following as an additional clause :—

"Election to fill casual vacancies among elected members of University authorities which it may be desired to fill shall be held, as far as may be, in the same manner and according to the same system as the elections to fill the original appointments."

Proposition (v).—That the Senate assent to the following amendments of Ordinances 6 and 7 (pages 35 and 36 of the Calendar for 1935-36, Vol. I—Part I of the Laws of the University) passed by the University Council at the meeting held on the 8th February 1936 :—

Substitute the following *for* Ordinances 6 and 7 :—

Ordinance 6.

Despatch of declaration and voting papers.

If the number of nominees exceeds the number of vacancies to be filled, the Registrar shall conduct the elections as detailed below :—

(i) The Registrar shall, not less than fourteen days before the date fixed for the poll, forward to each elector a declaration paper and a voting paper which shall contain the names of candidates arranged in alphabetical order, together with a notice stating the number of vacancies, the date fixed for the poll, the hour of the closing of the ballot and the day and the hour of the scrutiny and counting of votes.

The declaration form shall be in form (I) and the voting paper in form (II). The declaration paper shall bear on it a serial number corresponding to the number which the voter bears on the electoral roll.

Before the declaration paper and the voting paper are issued, the Registrar shall

(*a*) have the name of each elector entered on the declaration paper,

(*b*) initial the voting paper on the back,

and (*c*) satisfy himself that the declaration paper and the voting paper so initialled are being issued to each elector.

With the declaration paper and the voting paper the Registrar shall send (i) and envelope addressed to himself in form (III) bearing on its face the serial number of the voter, (ii) a cover marked 'voting paper' and (iii) a letter of intimation in form (IV).

Return of voting and declaration papers.

(ii) The declaration paper and the voting paper shall be filled in accordance with the directions given thereon and returned to the Registrar so as to reach him not later than the day and the hour notified for the closing of the ballot either in person or by an agent or by registered post. No vote shall be given by proxy. The voting paper should be enclosed in the smaller cover and sealed. The smaller cover and the declaration papers shall then be enclosed in the larger envelope and sealed. No envelope shall contain more than one voting paper and one declaration paper.

Safe custody of voting papers.

(iii) The Registrar shall deposit all the envelopes containing the declaration and voting papers in a safe in his office, until the time fixed for opening them and for scrutinizing and counting the votes.

Appointment of tellers.

(iv) The Vice-Chancellor shall appoint two members of the Senate to act with the Registrar in the scrutiny and counting of the votes.

Scrutiny of papers.

(v) On the day and at the time appointed for the scrutiny and counting of votes, the Registrar shall arrange the envelopes received and open them in the presence of the said members.

(vi) A voting paper shall be rejected :—

(*a*) if the inner cover is not accompanied by the declaration paper, or
(*b*) if the declaration paper is not the one sent by the Registrar, or
(*c*) if the declaration or attestation is not in order, or
(*d*) if the voting paper is placed outside the voting paper cover, or
(*e*) if more than one declaration paper or cover containing voting papers have been enclosed in one and the same envelope.

In each case the word 'rejected' shall be endorsed on the declaration paper or the voting paper cover and all such covers shall be kept separate.

(vii) The covers other than those rejected shall then be opened and the voting papers taken out and mixed together by the Registrar.

Ordinance 7.

Simple Majority System.

If the election is to be according to the Simple Majority System, the procedure shall be as follows :—

Procedure for voting.

(i) A vote shall be recorded by placing the mark **X** against the name of the candidate voted for.

(ii) A voting paper shall be rejected—

(*a*) if it does not bear the Registrar's initials, or
(*b*) if the number of votes recorded therein exceeds the number of vacancies to be filled, or
(*c*) if no vote is recorded thereon, or
(*d*) if it is invalid for uncertainty, or
(*e*) if the voter signs his name or writes any word or makes any mark by which it becomes recognizable.

On every paper so rejected the word 'rejected' shall be endorsed and all such papers shall be kept separate.

(iii) The voting papers excepting those rejected shall examined and the valid votes counted, provided that where more than one vote can be given on the voting paper, if a mark is so placed as to render it doubtful for which candidate it is intended, the vote concerned (but not the whole voting paper) shall be invalid.

Decision regarding validity.

(iv) The decision of the Registrar and the two members of the Senate appointed to act with him shall be final as to the validity of any votes recorded. In case of a difference of opinion, the decision shall be in accordance with the opinion of the majority.

Declaration of results.

(v) After the scrutiny is completed and the votes have been counted, a statement shall be prepared showing the number of votes received by each candidate. Such statement shall be signed by the Registrar and the said two members of the Senate and shall be forwarded to the Vice-Chancellor. Candidates receiving the largest number of votes shall be deemed to be elected up to the number of vacancies available, subject to the provision of section 13 (2) of the Regulation, provided that in the event of two or more candidates obtaining an equal number of votes, the Vice-Chancellor shall give a casting vote.

Ordinance 7A.

Single Tarnsferable Vote.

(i) If the election is to be according to the method of the Single Transferable Vote, the procedure shall be as follows:—

Procedure for voting.

(ii) Each member shall have one transferable vote. A member in giving his vote shall place on his voting paper the figure (1) opposite the name of the candidate for whom he votes. He may in addition place on his voting paper the figure (2) or the figures (2) and (3), or the figures 2, 3 and 4 and so on, opposite the names of other candidates in the order of his preference.

Invalid voting.

(iii) A voting paper shall be rejected—

(*a*) if a member signs on it his name or writes any word or makes any marks by which it becomes recognizable; or
(*b*) if it does not bear the Registrar's initials; or
(*c*) if the figure (1) is not marked; or
(*d*) if the same figure (1) is set opposite the names of more than one candidate; or
(*e*) if more than one figure is set opposite the name of the same candidate; or
(*f*) if it is unmarked or void for uncertainty.

On each voting paper so rejected, the word 'rejected' shall be endorsed and all such papers shall be kept separate.

First count.

(iv) The Registrar after rejecting the invalid papers, if any, shall divide the remaining papers into parcels according to the first preference recorded for each candidate. He shall then count the number of papers in each parcel.

Definition of terms.

(v) In the following clauses of this ordinance unless there is anything repugnant to the subject or context:

(*a*) 'quota' means the number of votes sufficient to secure the return of a candidate obtained by dividing the number of valid voting papers by a number exceeding the number of vacancies to be filled by one and adding **one** to the quotient thus obtained.

(*b*) 'continuing candidates' means candidates not elected or not excluded from the poll at any given time,

(*c*) 'exhausted papers' means voting papers on which no further preference is recorded for a continuing candidate; provided that a paper shall also be deemed to be exhausted in any case in which,

(1) the names of two or more candidates whether continuing or not, are marked with the same figure and are next in order of preference, or
(2) the names of candidates next in order of preference whether continuing or not, is marked—
(a) by a figure not following consecutively after some other figure on the voting paper, or
(b) by two or more figures.

(*d*) 'first preference' means the figure 1 set opposite the name of any candidate; 'second preference' similarly means the figure 2; 'third preference' means the figure (3), and so on.

(*e*) 'original votes' in regard to any candidate means the votes derived from voting papers on which a first preference is recorded for such candidates.

(*f*) 'surplus' means the number by which the value of the votes of any candidate, original and transferred, exceeds the quota as defined in this clause.

(*g*) 'transferred votes' in regard to any candidate means votes of which the value or part of the value is credited to such candidates and which are derived from voting papers on which a second or subsequent preference is recorded for such candidates.

(*h*) 'unexhausted papers' means voting papers on which a further preference is recorded for a continuing candidate.

Procedure for transfer.

(vi) In carrying out the operations hereinafter prescribed

(*a*) all fractions shall be disregarded ; and

(*b*) all preferences recorded for candidates already elected or excluded from the poll shall be ignored.

When election is complete

(vii) If at any time a number of candidates equal to the number of persons to be elected have obtained the quota, such candidates shall be treated as elected, and no further steps shall be taken.

When a candidate is elected.

(viii) Any candidate, the number of votes of whose parcel, on the first preference being counted, is equal to or greater than the quota, shall be deemed to be elected.

Transfer of surplus.

(ix) (*a*) If the number of papers in any such parcel is equal to the quota, the papers shall be set aside as finally dealt with.

(*b*) If the number of the papers in any such parcel is greater than the quota, the surplus shall be transferred to the continuing candidates indicated on the voting papers as next in order of the voter's preference, in the manner prescribed in the following clause :—

(*c*) If and whenever as the result of any operations prescribed by these ordinances a candidate has a surplus, that surplus shall be transferred in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance.

(*d*) If more than one candidate has a surplus, the largest surplus shall be dealt with first and the others in order of magnitude provided that every surplus arising on the first count of votes shall be dealt with before those arising on the second count, and so on.

(*e*) When two or more surpluses are equal, the Registrar shall decide, as hereinafter provided, which shall first be dealt with.

(*f*) (a) If the surplus of any candidate to be transferred arises from original votes only, the Registrar shall examine all the papers in the parcel belonging to the candidate whose surplus is to be transferred, and divide the unexhausted papers into sub-parcels according to the next preferences recorded thereon. He shall also make a separate sub-parcel of the exhausted papers.

(b) He shall ascertain the number of the papers in each sub-parcel and the total of all the unexhausted papers.

(c) If the total number of the unexhausted papers is equal to or less than the surplus, he shall transfer all the sub-parcels to the continuing candidate.

(d) If the total number of the unexhausted papers is greater than the surplus, he shall transfer from each sub-parcel of unexhausted papers the number of papers which shall bear the same proportion to the number of papers in the sub-parcel as the surplus bears to the total number of unexhausted papers.

(*g*) If the surplus of any candidate to be transferred arises from transferred as well as original votes, the Registrar shall re-examine all the papers in the sub-parcel last, transferred to the candidate, and divide the unexhausted papers into sub-parcels according to the next preference recorded thereon. He shall thereupon deal with the sub-parcels in the same manner as is provided in the case of sub-parcels referred to in clause (*e*).

(*h*) The papers transferred to each candidate shall be added in the form of a sub-parcel to the papers already belonging to such candidate.

(*i*) All papers in the parcel or sub-parcel of an elected candidate not transferred under this clause shall be set aside as finally dealt with.

(*j*) If, in ascertaining the number of papers to be transferred from a sub-parcel fractional parts are found to exist and if, owing to the existence of such fractional parts, the number of papers to be transferred is less than the surplus, as many of these fractional parts taken in the order of their magnitude, beginning with the largest, as are necessary to make the total number of papers to be transferred equal to the surplus, shall be reckoned as

of the value of unity, and the remaining fractional parts shall be ignored. If two or more fractional parts are of equal magnitude, that fractional part shall be deemed to be the larger which arises from the larger sub-parcel, and if the sub-parcels in question are equal in size, preference shall be given to the candidate who obtained the larger number of original votes.

Transfer of papers of excluded candidates.

(x) (*a*) If, after all the surpluses have been transferred as hereinbefore directed, less than the number of candidates required has been elected, the Registrar shall exclude from the poll the candidate lowest on the poll and shall distribute his unexhausted papers among the continuing candidates according to the next preference recorded thereon. Any exhausted papers shall be set aside as finally dealt with.

(*b*) The papers containing original notes of an excluded candidate shall first be transferred.

(*c*) The papers containing transferred votes of an excluded candidate shall then be transferred in the order of the transfers in which, and at the value at which, he obtained them.

(*d*) Each of such transfers shall be deemed to be a separate transfer.

(*e*) If the total of the votes of the two are more candidates lowest on the poll, together with any surplus votes not transferred, is less than the votes credited to the next highest candidate, those candidates may, in one operation, be excluded in accordance with the directions given in clauses (*a*) to (*d*) above.

(f) The process directed by the ordinance shall be repeated on the successive exclusions, one after another, of the candidates lowest on the poll until the last vacancy is filled either by the election of a candidate with the quota or as hereinafter provided.

(xi) If as a result of a transfer of papers under these ordinances the number of the votes obtained by a candidate is equal to or greater than the quota, the transfer then proceeding shall be completed but no further papers shall be transferred to him.

(xii) (*a*) If after the completion of any tranfer under the ordinances the number of the votes of any candidate shall be equal to or greater than the quota, he shall be deemed elected.

(*b*) If the number of the votes of any such candidate shall be equal to the quota, the whole of the papers on which such votes are recorded shall be set aside as finally derlt with.

(*c*) If the number of the votes of any such candidate shall be greater than the quota, his surplus shall thereupon be distributed in the manner hereinbefore provided, before the exclusion of any other candidate.

(xiii) (*a*) When the number of continuing candidates is reduced to the number of vacancies remaining unfilled, the continuing candidates shall be deemed elected.

(*b*) When only one vacancy remains unfilled and the number of the votes of some one continuing candidate exceeds the total number of all the votes of the other continuing candidates, together with any surplus not transferred, that candidate shall be deemed elected.

(*c*) When only one vacancy remains unfilled and there are only two continuing candidates and those two candidates have each the same number of votes and no surplus capable of transfer, one candidate shall be excluded under the next succeeding ordinance, and the other deemed elected.

(xiv) If when there is more than one surplus to distribute, or two or more surpluses are equal, or if at any time it becomes necessary to exclude a candidate and two or more candidates have the same number of votes and are lowest on the poll, regard shall be had to the original votes of each candidate, and the candidate for whom fewest original votes are recorded shall have his surplus first distributed, or shall be first excluded as the case may be. If the number of their original votes is the same. the Registrar shall decide by lot which candidate shall have his surplus distributed or be excluded.

Decision regarding validity.

(xv) The decision of the Registrar and the two members of the Senate appointed to act with him shall be final as to the validity of any votes recorded. In case of difference of opinion, the decision shall be in accordance with the opinion of the majority.

Election return.

(xvi) The Registrar shall prepare a statement showing—

(1) the number of electors who voted ;
(2) the number of voting papers rejected ;
(3) the number of votes obtained by each candidate.

The statement signed by the Registrar and the two members acting with him shall be submitted to the Vice-Chancellor who shall, subject to the provisions of Section 13 (2) of the Regulation, declare the names of the candidates who have been duly elected.

MOVED BY MR. A. R. WADIA.

SECONDED BY MR. D. VENKATARAMIAH.

It was agreed that the discussion should be on the propositions as a whole, but that the voting should be taken on each proposition separately.

After discussion, proposition (i) was put to vote and declared lost.

It was next moved that the House may pass on to proposition (3) on the agenda. The motion was carried unanimously.

Proposition 3.—That the Senate assent to the following amendment of Ordinance 35 (page 43 of the Calendar for 1935-36, Vol. I—Part I, Laws of the University), passed by the University Council at the meeting held on the 8th February, 1936 :—

Substitute the following *for* the ordinance :—

"The fee prescribed shall be levied in four equal instalments, in July, in September, in November and in January in the case of the Medical and Engineering courses and in eight equal monthly instalments, *viz.*, July to February inclusive, in the Arts and Science courses.

Provided that in respect of candidates newly admitted, or rejoining after a break, the first instalment shall be payable on admission.

Provided further that in respect of the Pre-Medical class the fee shall be payable in two equal instalments, *viz.*, in June on admission and in October."

MOVED BY MR. B. K. NARAYANA RAO.

SECONDED BY MR. D. V. GUNDAPPA.

The proposition was carried.

Proposition 4.—That the Senate assent to the following resolution of the Academic Council, passed at the meeting held on the 10th January 1936 and approved by the University Council at the meeting held on the 8th February 1936 and the consequential amendment of ordinance 91 (page 63 of the Calendar for 1935-36, Vol. I—Part I, Laws of the University). [*Vide* Proposition 18 in the proceedings of the meeting of the Senate held in November 1935.]

Resolution of the Academic Council—

(i) That the Academic Council approves of the principle of the classification of candidates successful in the Master's Degree Examinations into two classes.

(ii) That the names of candidates passing in the first class be arranged in order of merit and those of the other successful candidates in alphabetical order.

(iii) That the minimum for a pass in the first class be 60 per cent of the aggregate marks and that for a pass in the second class be 50 per cent of the aggregate marks.

Consequential amendment of Ordinance 91.

Substitute the following *for* the last two paragraphs of the Ordinance :—

"No candidate shall be declared to have passed the Master's Degree Examination unless he obtains not less than 50 per cent of the aggregate marks in the examination. Successful candidates who obtained 60 per cent of the total marks shall be placed in the first class in order of merit, and the other successful candidates in the second class in alphabetical order."

MOVED BY *Rao Bahadur* MR. B. VENKATESACHAR.

SECONDED BY MR. B. M. SRIKANTIA.

The proposition was carried.

Proposition 5.—That the Senate assent to the following ordinances regarding the L.M.P. diploma course, passed by the Academic Council at the meeting held on the 10th January 1936 and approved by the University Council at the meeting held on the 8th February 1936 :—

(1) *Ordinance 119 A.*—Each year shall be taken as the unit for purposes of calculating attendance and shall consist of two terms as in ordinance 48. A candidate

shall be considered to have completed the course of studies for any examination if he has attended not less than 80 per cent of the number of working periods in each of the subjects of the course during two consecutive terms and his progress and conduct have been satisfactory.

(ii) *Ordinance 119 B.*—A candidate who fails in any examination or having completed the course for any examination does not appear for the examination immediately and appears for the examination in a subsequent year shall be considered to have undergone a further course for purposes of Ordinance 126, if he has attended not less than 80 per cent of the working periods in each of the subjects in which attendance is necessary, for at least one term immediately preceding the examination for which he appears.

MOVED BY MR. B. K. NARAYANA RAO.

SECONDED BY DR. M. A. SAMPATHKUMARAN.

The proposition was carried.

Proposition 6.—That the Senate assent to the following transitory ordinances in respect of candidates for the I.Sc. Examination under the Transitory Ordinances E (*a*) and (*b*) [pages 87 and 88 of the Calendar for 1935-36, Volume I—Part I, Laws of the University], passed by the Academic Council at the meeting held on the 10th January 1936 and approved by the University Council at the meeting held on the 8th February 1936 :—

(*c*) (i) Candidates who fail in both the parts in the examination of 1936 shall take the whole course for the I.Sc. Examination under the revised scheme.

(ii) Candidates who fail in Part I in the examination of 1936 shall take the course in Part I for the I.Sc. Examination under the revised scheme.

(iii) Candidates who fail in Part II in the examination of 1936 shall take the course in Part II for the I.Sc. Examination under the revised scheme as follows :—

I.Sc. (Engineering) : Physics, Chemisfry, Mathematics (Optional).
I.Sc. (Medicine) : Elementary Mathematics, Chemistry, Botany and Zoology,

NOTE:—Clauses (*c*) and (*d*) of E to be numbered consequently as (*d*) and (*e*).

MOVED BY MR. E. G. MCALPINE.

SECONDED BY DR. B. SANJIVA RAO.

The proposition was carried.

Proposition 7.—That the Senate assent to the following modification in detailed course of study in Persian as a maior subject for the B.A. (Hons.) Degree Examination (pages 185 and 186 of the Calendar for 1934-35, Volume I) recommended by the Board of Studies in Urdu, Persian and Arabic, passed by the Academic Council at the meeting held on the 10th January 1936 and approved by the University Council at the meeting held on the 8th February 1936 :—

For "6 Elements of Avestan Literature"

Substitute "6 Elements of Avestan Literature

Or

History of Islamic Sects".

MOVED BY MR. M. SULTAN MOHIYUDDIN.

SECONDED BY MR. D. V. GUNDAPPA.

The proposition was carried.

Proposition 8.—That the Senate assent to the detailed course of study in Politics for the B.A. Degree Examination recommended by the Board of Studies in Economics, Political Science and Statistics, passed by the Academic Council at the meeting held on the 10th January 1936 and approved by the University Council at the meeting held on the 8th February 1936.

MOVED BY MR. V. L. D'SOUZA.

SECONDED BY DR. M. H. KRISHNA.

The proposition was carried.

Proposition 9.—That the Senate assent to the detailed courses of study in History for the B.A. and B.A. (Hons.) Degree Examinations, recommended by the Board of Studies in History, passed by the Academic Council at the meeting held on the 10th January 1936, and approved by the University Council at the meeting held on the 8th February 1936.

MOVED BY DR. M. H. KRISHNA.

SECONDED BY DR. K. N. VENKATASUBBA SASTRI.

The proposition was carried.

Proposition 10.—That the Senate assent to the detailed courses of study in the several subjects in Philosophy specified for the B.A and B.A. (Hons.) degree examinations recommended by the Board of Studies in Mental and Moral Science, passed by the Academic Council at the meeting held on the 10th January 1936 and approved by the University Council at the meeting held on the 8th February 1936.

MOVED BY MR. A. R. WADIA.

SECONDED BY MR. D. V. GUNDAPPA.

The proposition was carried.

Proposition 11.—That the Senate assent to the following transitory ordinance in respect of fee for the Final Examination for the M.B.B.S. degree of 1936, passed by the University Council at the meeting held on the 29th February 1936 :—

"Candidates for the Final Examination for the M.B.B.S. degree taking both parts I and II—at the examination of March 1936 shall pay a fee of Rs. 60 according to the old scale for admission to the examination."

MOVED MR. S. SUBBA RAO.

SECONDED BY MR. B. K. NARAYANA RAO.

The proposition was carried.

Proposition 12.—That the Senate assent to the addition of the following ordinance 11A, passed by the University Council at the meeting held on the 29th February 1936 :—

11A. In all applications for admission to any institution of the University, the date of birth shall be given. This date shall be the same as that given for purposes of Matriculation or in the S.S.L.C. record. No change shall be permitted in the date of birth as registered on admission to the University.

MOVED BY MR. E. G. MCALPINE.

SECONDED BY DR. M. A. SAMPATHKUMARAN.

The proposition was carried.

V. MEMBERS' PROPOSITIONS.

Proposition 13.—That it be a recommendation to the University Council that due provision be made in the Budget for 1936-37 for the award of five scholarships of Rs. 100 each per mensem to enable the best graduates of this University to join the "Public Service Class" in the Punjab University for equiping themselves sufficiently to compete at the All-India Competitive Examinations to be held by the Public Service Commission in India.

MOVED BY MR. M. RAMACHANDRA RAO.

SECONDED BY MR. N. V. NARAYANA MURTHI.

The proposition was lost.

Proposition 14.—That, in view of the recent announcement in the Mysore Gazette of the intention of Government to revive the Mysore Civil Service Examination and hold it in the latter part of the current calendar year, it be a recommendation to the University Council to make provision for training the graduates of this University and other graduates entitled and intending to sit for the same by establishing a class to be known as the "Mysore Public Service Class" on the excellent model of the training class opened at Lahore in October 1935 for All-India Examinations.—

MOVED BY MR. M. RAMACHANDRA RAO.

SECONDED BY MR. N. V. NARAYANA MURTHI.

The proposition was lost.

Proposition 15.—That it be a recommendation to the University Council that due provision be made in the Budget for 1936-37 for the award of two scholarships to deserving graduates (one a male and the other a woman) of this University for studying at the Sir Dorabji Tata Graduate School of Social Work which will begin work in June 1936 and which will for the present admit only 20 graduates from all the Indian Universities.

MOVED BY MR. M. RAMACHANDRA RAO.

SECONDED BY MR. A. VENKATESIAH.

The proposition was lost.

Proposition 16.—That the Government of Mysore be requested to move the Government of India that in recommending candidates for appointment in the Secretariat and other institutions connected with the League of Nations in future, due consideration be given to the case of deserving graduates of this University also, since so far no graduate of this University has been the recipient of the honour of serving under the League of Nations.

MOVED BY MR. M. RAMACHANDRA RAO.

SECONDED BY MR. C. HAYAVADANA RAO.

The proposition was carried.

Proposition 17.—That Government be requested to take needful action for securing a few places for deserving graduates or under-graduates of this University into the Prince of Wales Royal Indian Military College at Dehra Dun as also in the institutions to which the Government of India are empowered to send candidates for training for the Navy and Air Services.

MOVED BY MR. M. RAMACHANDRA RAO.

SECONDED BY MR. N. V. NARAYANA MURTHI.

The proposition was lost.

Proposition 18 *by Mr. V. Venkatswamy Chetty.*—That it be a recommendation to the University Council that the rules of Notification No. 14780, dated the 27th January 1936 be modified as hereunder :—

(*a*) In clauses 1, 2 and 7 *substitute* the words "Telugu Banajiga" *for* the word "Hindu."

(*b*) *Delete* clause 3.

The proposition was withdrawn with the request that the question of omitting the word 'equally' in paragraph 3 may be considered by the Council.

Proposition 19.—That Statute 74 (page 32 of the Calendar for the year 1935-36 Vol. I—Part I, Laws of the University) be amended by the addition of the following as clause (iv) :—

"Mysoreans by birth or domicile who are teachers holding permanent appointments in the service of the University."

MOVED BY DR. K. N. VENKATASUBBA SASTRI.

SECONDED BY MR. V. L. D'SOUZA.

After discussion, the proposition was withdrawn.

This being the last meeting of the present Senate, the Vice-Chancellor thanked the members for their continued co-operation and trusted that as large a number out of them as possible would be returned to the next Senate.

MR. B. VENKATANARANAPPA, on behalf of the Senate, proposed a vote of thanks to the Vice-Chancellor.

N. S. SUBBA RAO,
Vice-Chancellor and Chairman.

APPENDIX A.

Transitory Ordinances relating to the Engineering Examinations.

(*a*) Candidates for the First Examination in Engineering in any year who shall not have previously passed the Intermediate Examination with Mathematics as in the revised course shall be required to produce evidence of having undergone satisfactorily a course of instruction in "Mensuration" in the first year class.

(*b*) Candidates for the Second Examination in Engineering of 1936 in the Civil Engineering branch shall be exempted from the examination in Geology; provided that those who have not previously passed the I.Sc. (Engineering) shall be required to produce a certificate of having satisfactorily undergone a course of lectures and laboratory work in Geology in their final year.

(*c*) Candidates unsuccessful in the Second Examination in Engineering (Civil) in 1935 or earlier shall be exempted from the examination in Geology at a subsequent appearance for the Second Examination in Engineering and from attending the course of lectures in Water Supply and Sanitary Engineering in the final year, provided that those who have not previously passed the Intermediate Examination in Science (Engineering) shall be required to produce a certificate of having satisfactorily undergone a course of lectures and laboratory work in Geology in their final year class.

(*d*) Candidates for the Final Examination in Engineering of 1936 in Civil Engineering will be exempted from study and examination in Water Supply and Sanitary Engineering. Those who may fail in the Examination will similarly be exempted in respect of the examination of 1937.

(*e*) The paper on Heat Engines in the Second Examination in Engineering (Electrical) shall consist of two parts: Part I relating to internal combustion engines and Part II relating to steam engines. Candidates unsuccessful in the examination in 1935 or earlier shall be required to pass only in Part I at a subsequent appearance for the Second Examination in Engineering and shall be required thereafter to produce evidence of having attended satisfactorily a course of lectures on "Steam Engines" in the final year.

(*f*) For candidates unsuccessful in the Final Examination in Engineering (Mechanical) in 1935 or earlier the paper on Power Plant Engineering shall be in accordance with the syllabus under the old course.

(*g*) For candidates unsuccessful in the Final Examination in Engineering (Electrical) in 1935 or earlier, the papers on

Electrical Engineering I,
Generation, Transmission and Distribution,
Estimating, Specification and Engineering Economics, and
Mechanical Engineering

in accordance with the syllabus under the old course, shall be

substituted for

Generation and Hydro-Electric Engineering,
Transmission and distribution, and
Estimating, Specification and Engineering Economics,
Traction and Utilisation.

NOTE.—The provisions (*c*) to (*g*) shall apply to the examinations of 1936 and 1937 in the case of candidates appearing without further attendance and provision (*a*) to the examinations of 1936 1937 and 1938.

Notification No. 21423, *dated the* 28*th April* 1936.

The detailed course of study in Persian as a major subject for the B.A. (Hons.) Degree Examination (pages 185 and 186 of the Calendar for 1934-35, Vol. 1) is amended as under:—

For "6 Elements of Avestan Literature."

Substitute "6 Elements of Avestan Literature

or

History of Islamic Sects"

(Letter No. E. 2874—Uni. 100-35-5, dated the 21st April 1936 from the General Secretary to Government, Bangalore.